

## REMARKS


Though it is believed that the claims as previously worded distinguished over the art of record, in order to further clarify the differences, the claims have been amended. It is believed that there is no reasonable argument that the claims as amended could possibly be obvious over the cited art. For example, there is no determining in the cited art which packets take longer to process and sending the packets that take less time to process before sending packets that take more time to process.

For example, sending packets over a faster transport would not meet the claimed limitation which requires that some packets be sent before other packets. Moreover, the claim calls for determining which packets take longer to process and sending those packets after packets which take less time to process.

Therefore, reconsideration is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: January 27, 2006



---

Timothy N. Trop, Reg. No. 28,994  
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.  
8554 Katy Freeway, Ste. 100  
Houston, TX 77024  
713/468-8880 [Phone]  
713/468-8883 [Fax]

Attorneys for Intel Corporation